

Research Update:

Zurich, Switzerland's Largest City, Rated 'AA+'; Outlook Stable

October 28, 2019

Overview

- The City of Zurich benefits from a very strong local economy and an extremely predictable institutional framework for municipalities in the Canton of Zurich.
- The city's management follows clear internal guidelines, managing its budget with balance between needs and financial capacity.
- We are assigning our 'AA+' long-term issuer credit rating to the city.
- The outlook is stable.

Rating Action

On Oct. 28, 2019, S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA+' long-term issuer credit rating to the City of Zurich (Zurich-City). The outlook is stable.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that, after years of budget surpluses, Zurich-City will resort to an only marginal deficit despite projected losses from corporate tax reform and a planned increase in capital spending. We also assume that the city will maintain a cushion of cash and contain any debt increase, with a largely stable and moderate debt burden of just above 60% of operating revenue.

Downside scenario

We could lower the ratings if the city substantially deviated from its financial policy, with deficits after capital accounts heading toward 10% of total revenues. In this scenario, the city would also resume its debt accumulation.

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Alois Strasser
Frankfurt
(49) 69-33-999-240
alois.strasser
@spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Thomas F Fischinger
Frankfurt
(49) 69-33-999-243
thomas.fischinger
@spglobal.com

ADDITIONAL CONTACT

Michelle Bozem
Frankfurt
michelle.bozem
@spglobal.com

Upside scenario

We could raise the ratings if Zurich-City further reduced its debt burden, and further improved its cash cushion through improved budgetary performance, which could also reflect lower capital expenditure (capex) than we expect in our base-case scenario.

Rationale

The ratings reflect Zurich-City's very strong individual credit profile, ranging from very strong economy and financial management to strong budgetary performance, and very strong access to external liquidity. The ratings further reflect an extremely predictable and supportive institutional framework for municipalities in the Canton of Zurich (AAA/Stable/--). A relatively low cash reserve and moderate debt burden constrain the rating.

Zurich-City has a very strong economy, which provides a wealthy basis for local taxation. However, the constraints of a diverse socioeconomic urban population affect financial performance. The city is entering a cycle of new capex programs, among others, in its integrated utility sector, and will partially finance these investments through debt and liquidity. Nevertheless, debt remains moderate.

We expect that Zurich-City's very strong management team will manage its budget, including its capex program, in a manner compliant with its financial goal of balancing accrual operating accounts in the medium term and containing debt increases due to deficits after capital accounts beyond its own budget and financial plans. Zurich-City benefits from an extremely predictable institutional framework, which burdens its accounts by equalization payments, but also compensates for specific expenses of an urban center.

Very strong economy, with extremely predictable institutional framework for municipalities in the canton and management's adherence to strict financial policies

Zurich-City is the most important economic center for Switzerland, reflecting a highly diverse and dynamic economic structure, primarily in the services sector. We view Swiss GDP per capita as very high, at about \$83,100 (2019), while the city's GDP per capita is twice as high. Zurich-City's economy is largely driven by the financial industry (28% of value-added for the local economy), which consists of different sectors such as commercial and investment banking, asset and wealth management, as well as insurance and reinsurance. Other important sectors include education, health, and culture. ETH Zürich ranks among the most prestigious universities in Europe, and the University of Zurich is also well known. The cantonal university clinic and city clinics, as well as elderly care facilities, are renowned institutions. Despite the financial industry's dominance, we do not see a concentration risk because of the sector's fragmentation and diversification, but understand structural changes in the industry will affect the city's economy. However, we believe it will need to rely on its adaptability to market and technological challenges.

We assess the institutional framework for municipalities in the Canton of Zurich as extremely predictable and supportive. This relies on the consensus-based approach of the canton and municipalities for structural and legal changes, avoiding unbalanced burdens for either. However, legally, municipalities cannot oppose changes provided that no referendum on these changes happens. A final backstop to unwanted changes for municipalities would always be a referendum,

where municipal politicians could influence voters. Due to the strong municipal autonomy, extraordinary support for municipalities might be contained and the canton would likely need to pass specific legislation to support Zurich-City in an extraordinary case, a situation we view as only theoretical.

We assess the city management as very strong, because it adapted and strengthened some cantonal regulations of the fiscal framework for municipalities and clarified measurements, timeframes, and related issues (such as for the medium-term balanced budget). Furthermore, additional internal guidelines strengthen the predictability of the city's financial policy with clear targets, but also leave room for unforeseen challenges. These guidelines include a set of optional measures on budgetary flexibility ranging from adjusting the tax multiplier to cutting expenses, lowering the capex program, and setting guidelines for liquidity and debt management. We expect the city management will adapt to the challenges of the national corporate tax reform and increased capital program by applying the defined tools and implement required measures to maintain financial stability.

Strong budgetary performance is weakening, leading to a cash drain and increasing debt

We expect budgetary performance to moderately weaken in the medium term, due to the impacts of national corporate tax reform, the effects of accounting adjustments linked to the switch to the new harmonized accounting model, and an increased multi-year investment program. However, we expect the city's management will contain deficits after capital accounts. In 2016-2018, Zurich-City's budgetary performance was very robust with surpluses after capital accounts of 2.1% of total revenue on average. Beyond the increased capex program, budgets and financial plans reflect lower operating margins, remaining committed to the balanced budget requirement (in accrual terms). While tax revenues are forecast to increase in 2019-2020, 2021 reflects the impact of the cantonal tax reform, with a cut in the corporate tax rate to 7% from 8%, and stagnant tax revenues in 2022. Nontax revenues, stemming primarily from the sale of products and services at the utility entities, are expected to moderately increase from 2019-2023, while transfers are forecast to increase from 2021-2023 related to the canton's compensational payments, which are linked to the corporate tax reform. In our 2019 base-case scenario, we expect deficits after capital accounts of Swiss franc (CHF) 330 million and CHF351 million in 2019 and 2020, respectively, despite our assumption that not all capex will be realized. A major part of the capex is budgeted for industrial services (utility entities), but also for construction and refurbishment of hospitals, housing, and other buildings. In our view, pension obligations are fully funded and do not constrain Zurich-City's budgetary performance.

We expect the city will finance deficits partially with new borrowings that will stabilize its debt burden at a moderate 65%-70% of operating revenues until 2021. In recent years, Zurich-City has repaid substantial debt. Direct debt and tax-supported debt is the same for the city, because all relevant utility entities are consolidated in its balance sheet. Zurich-City is very active in the Swiss capital market and extended its maturity profile to 2046, consistent with the lifetime of some of its investment projects. The city has no major contingent liabilities due to the consolidation of its utility entities in its accounts, and those minimal ones remaining have low risk of materialization.

Deficits after capital accounts will also drain Zurich-City's liquidity and, in our base-case scenario, liquidity should drop below the average minimum of about CHF300 million. Average liquidity levels should be replenished with short-term borrowings, if levels drop below planned levels. For managing liquidity, Zurich-City has access to three committed credit lines of about CHF800 million, which support its debt service coverage ratio. Nevertheless, we view the coverage ratio as

weak, with short-term and long-term annual debt repayments at just below 80% of debt service. We do not view this as a credit risk for Zurich-City, because the city's access to the deep and liquid CHF capital market is strong. Our overall assessment of Zurich-City's liquidity as strong takes into account existing cash, committed undrawn credit lines, and strong access to the Swiss capital market.

Key Statistics

Table 1

City of Zurich Selected Indicators

| (Mil. CHF) | --Fiscal year ended Dec. 31-- | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019bc | 2020bc | 2021bc |
| Operating revenues | 7,847 | 7,912 | 7,901 | 7,742 | 8,082 | 8,088 |
| Operating expenditures | 6,856 | 6,863 | 6,886 | 7,047 | 7,383 | 7,341 |
| Operating balance | 991 | 1,049 | 1,015 | 695 | 700 | 747 |
| Operating balance (% of operating revenues) | 12.6 | 13.3 | 12.8 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 9.2 |
| Capital revenues | 61 | 45 | 68 | 201 | 167 | 239 |
| Capital expenditures | 885 | 860 | 992 | 1,226 | 1,218 | 1,313 |
| Balance after capital accounts | 168 | 234 | 92 | (330) | (351) | (327) |
| Balance after capital accounts (% of total revenues) | 2.1 | 2.9 | 1.1 | (4.1) | (4.3) | (3.9) |
| Debt repaid | 1,422 | 943 | 798 | 825 | 890 | 850 |
| Gross borrowings | 743 | 709 | 548 | 848 | 1,200 | 1,250 |
| Balance after borrowings | (684) | (34) | (196) | (353) | (62) | 73 |
| Direct debt (outstanding at year-end) | 6,137 | 5,907 | 5,597 | 5,074 | 5,284 | 5,584 |
| Direct debt (% of operating revenues) | 78.2 | 74.7 | 70.8 | 65.5 | 65.4 | 69.0 |
| Tax-supported debt (outstanding at year-end) | 6,137 | 5,907 | 5,597 | 5,074 | 5,284 | 5,584 |
| Tax-supported debt (% of consolidated operating revenues) | 78.2 | 74.7 | 70.8 | 65.5 | 65.4 | 69.0 |
| Interest (% of operating revenues) | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| Local GDP per capita (CHF) | 169,916 | 168,616 | 166,424 | 168,692 | 171,877 | 173,881 |
| National GDP per capita (CHF) | 78,568 | 78,917 | 80,700 | 82,087 | 83,747 | 85,580 |

Note: The data and ratios above result in part from S&P Global Ratings' own calculations, drawing on national as well as international sources, reflecting S&P Global Ratings' independent view on the timeliness, coverage, accuracy, credibility, and usability of available information. The main sources are the financial statements and budgets, as provided by the issuer. bc--Base case reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectations of the most likely scenario. CHF--Swiss franc.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Table 2

City of Zurich Ratings Score Snapshot

| Key rating factors | Scores |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Institutional framework | 1 |
| Economy | 1 |
| Financial management | 1 |
| Budgetary performance | 2 |
| Liquidity | 2 |
| Debt burden | 3 |
| Stand-alone credit profile | aa+ |
| Issuer credit rating | AA+ |

S&P Global Ratings bases its ratings on non-U.S. local and regional governments (LRGs) on the six main rating factors in this table. In the "Methodology For Rating Local And Regional Governments Outside Of The U.S.," published on July 15, 2019, we explain the steps we follow to derive the global scale foreign currency rating on each LRG. The institutional framework is assessed on a six-point scale: 1 is the strongest and 6 the weakest score. Our assessments of economy, financial management, budgetary performance, liquidity, and debt burden are on a five-point scale, with 1 being the strongest score and 5 the weakest.

Key Sovereign Statistics

- Sovereign Risk Indicators, Oct. 10, 2019. An interactive version is available at <http://www.spratings.com/sri>

Related Criteria

- Criteria | Governments | International Public Finance: Methodology For Rating Local And Regional Governments Outside Of The U.S., July 15, 2019
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Related Research

- Default, Transition, and Recovery: 2018 Annual International Public Finance Default Study And Rating Transition Study, Aug. 19, 2019
- Institutional Framework Assessments For International Local And Regional Governments, July 4, 2019
- Local Government Debt 2019: Slower Debt Reduction For German States And Little Change For Swiss And Austrian LRG Debt, March 1, 2019
- Research Update: Canton of Zurich 'AAA' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable, Nov. 23, 2018

In accordance with our relevant policies and procedures, the Rating Committee was composed of analysts that are qualified to vote in the committee, with sufficient experience to convey the appropriate level of knowledge and understanding of the methodology applicable (see 'Related Criteria And Research'). At the onset of the committee, the chair confirmed that the information provided to the Rating Committee by the primary analyst had been distributed in a timely manner

and was sufficient for Committee members to make an informed decision.

After the primary analyst gave opening remarks and explained the recommendation, the Committee discussed key rating factors and critical issues in accordance with the relevant criteria. Qualitative and quantitative risk factors were considered and discussed, looking at track-record and forecasts.

The committee's assessment of the key rating factors is reflected in the Ratings Score Snapshot above.

The chair ensured every voting member was given the opportunity to articulate his/her opinion. The chair or designee reviewed the draft report to ensure consistency with the Committee decision. The views and the decision of the rating committee are summarized in the above rationale and outlook. The weighting of all rating factors is described in the methodology used in this rating action (see 'Related Criteria And Research').

Ratings List

New Rating; Outlook Action

Zurich (City of)

| | |
|----------------------|---------------|
| Issuer Credit Rating | AA+/Stable/-- |
|----------------------|---------------|

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

Copyright © 2019 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.