

Chapter 14

Transnational Mobility and Associative Life

Sandro Cattacin

1 **Abstract** Transnationally, mobile people have produced a differentiated associa-
 2 tive life in many countries. This chapter tries to understand why these people orga-
 3 nize themselves and relate forms and logics of these autonomous associations to
 4 extrinsic and intrinsic dynamics. It concludes by underlining the pluralism of logics
 5 and the societal role of these associations.

6 **Keywords** Associations of mobile people · Associative life · Transnational
 7 mobility · Migration

8
 9 An essential foundation of a democratic system is a structured and organized asso-
 10 ciative world (Rosenblum 2001), as already emphasized by Tocqueville in the nine-
 11 teenth century in his analysis of the American democracy (Tocqueville 1986/1835).¹
 12 Associations create social bonds beyond the family and produce society (Beveridge
 13 1948; Zimmer and Evers 2010). They are also fundamental for encouraging the
 14 responsible behavior of companies (Bagnasco 1977) and politicians (Zimmer 1996;
 15 Putnam et al. 1993). Likewise, social movements—a specific form of civil society
 16 organizations—were attributed an important role in a reflexive, continuously re-
 17 newing society (Cattacin et al. 1997). And last but not least, associations are linked
 18 to the production of the moral basis of our society (Etzioni 1973).

19 The significance of associations for societal integration—particularly democracy,
 20 economics, and a legitimate government—has also been qualified by some empiri-
 21 cal studies. Some are worried about the possible political instrumentalization of the
 22 associative world (Seibel 1992; Mutti 2000; Battaglini et al. 2001a; Battaglini et al.
 23 2001b) and about the creation of obstacles to innovation due to the social control
 24 on entrepreneurs that those associations could exert (Fukuyama 1995). Other stud-
 25 ies consider that engagement in the associative world, on the one hand, could have
 26 some effect on identities by stabilizing individual self-realization and by producing
 27 social contacts, but, on the other hand, could turn into a dynamic of self-exclusion
 from the rest of the society and, in other words, to ghetto building (Wacquant 2006).

¹ This text is partially based on the introductory chapter of Cattacin and Domenig (2012).

S. Cattacin (✉)
 Department of Sociology, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland
 e-mail: sandro.cattacin@unige.ch

M. Freise, T. Hallmann (eds.), *Modernizing Democracy*, 1
 DOI 10.1007/978-1-4939-0485-3_14, © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

Table 14.1 The ambivalence of associations. (Own compilation)

	Favorable factors for societal reproduction	Unfavorable factors for societal reproduction
Policy implications	Associations as places of civic control of government activity (governance)	Associations as places of clientelism and at risk of being instrumentalized
Economic impact	Associations as a basis for a market economy based on competition	Associations as places of social control impeding innovation
Impact on identity	Associations as places of identity stabilization	Associations as ghettos

29 The research literature on associations is not only multidimensional, but also
 30 shows an ambivalent assessment of the association's impact on society (see the
 31 summary in Table 14.1). Ultimately, the extent of the social utility of associations
 32 cannot be clearly identified because there are always “the good, the bad, and the
 33 indifferent” effects of communitarian groups, as Dewey (1927, p. 71) puts it. This
 34 statement can probably equally be stated for associations of mobile people.² Hence,
 35 Baglioni (2005) and Reinprecht (2011) underline the important contribution of
 36 these associations for the social inclusion of migrants, whereas Martiniello (1997)
 37 reminds us once again of the risks of ghettoization. Dear and Flusty (2001), particularly,
 38 emphasizes the beneficial effect of these associations on the stability of
 39 identities of mobile people, while the Chicago School stresses on the reduction of
 40 social advancement opportunities in homogenous neighborhoods and in segregated
 41 migrant groups (Park 1928).

42 Obviously these studies contradict each other, but these contradictions could
 43 only partially be related to the associations they analyze. In fact, we assume that a
 44 differentiation in specific historical moments and territorial contexts might resolve
 45 this ambivalence and contribute to a better understanding of the role and the impact
 46 of migrant associations. In this chapter, we will follow this assumption by distin-
 47 guishing the historical and territorial associations of mobile people by focusing on
 48 the development of their associative life in Europe since the 1950s. The selected
 49 timeframe corresponds to the available studies; unfortunately, we must say that the
 50 associative world of mobile people has still only a modest presence in the research
 51 literature.

52 Europe is still a significant area of transnational mobility, both in terms of the
 53 number of movements and in different forms of mobility. It is also a territory with
 54 a problematic history in dealing with differences, dominated in the first half of
 55 the twentieth century by an extremely destructive logic (in the countries with a
 56 totalitarian regime) or, at least, a logic of suspicion (in the colonial or democratic
 57 countries). Although the question of inclusion of differences or simply living with

² We use the terminology of “mobile people” to indicate that contemporary movements of people beyond existing frontiers can no longer be captured by the term migration, which has to include such different experiences of mobility such as asylum seekers, expats, and clandestine migration. Furthermore, the notion includes the aspiration to advance not only physically but also economically (see Cattacin and Domenig 2013).

58 them is still at the center of political preoccupations, in many European realities a
 59 learning process has taken place that has changed the orientations regarding differ-
 60 ences in general and mobility-related differences in particular. Nevertheless, Europe
 61 remains a counterpoint to traditional destination countries of migration such as the
 62 USA, Australia, or Canada, which always have dealt with mobility in a more or less
 63 constructive manner, based on their own, historically, and socially well-anchored
 64 experiences with mass migration (Hollifield 1990).

65 **Organized, Traditional Migration in Fordism**

66 The first great wave of migration in Europe after 1945 is mainly of Italian origin, as
 67 after 1945, Italy was the only country that opened its frontiers for migration. In this
 68 period, Italy suffers from the disaster of fascism and the consequences of war, and
 69 thus becomes the starting point for an organized migration³ from the south to the
 70 north of Italy and to northern Europe (Hollifield 1992). These mobile people, ini-
 71 tially mostly skilled artisans with the intention to work only for a short time outside
 72 Italy, meet in Switzerland and in the UK (and the USA) an intellectual diaspora of
 73 Italians who fled from fascism.

74 Beginning in the 1950s, the former diaspora of well-organized, anti-fascist
 75 groups experiences an important transformation after the arrival of the so-called
 76 second wave of migration from Italy. The newly arriving migrants are much less
 77 qualified and are employed in the growing industries throughout Europe. They
 78 transform the small political organizations of Italians into associations, which are
 79 similar to trade unions (Ricciardi 2013). This kind of migrant associations develops
 80 rapidly in Europe and extends their role as advocates for the Italian labor force with
 81 new activities, such as mutual aid, social assistance, help in handling administrative
 82 tasks, as well as help in emergency situations. According to Moya, their develop-
 83 ment can be explained by the fact that they filled a gap with their activities:

84 Again, it is hardly surprising that, historically, they have mushroomed in situations where
 85 neither traditional institutions—such as kinship groups and the parish church—nor newer
 86 ones—such as the welfare state, insurance companies and corporations—could satisfy
 87 social needs like health-care, leisure and companionship. Functionalism offers here a more
 88 insightful explanation than arguments based on the civic and political culture of the immi-
 89 grants or their hosts. (Moya 2005, p 840)

90 From the beginning of the 1960s, mobility grows in all parts of Europe, and Italy
 91 alone can no longer meet the growing demand for labor in the expanding Fordist
 92 economy. Other countries open their doors for mass migration, such as Turkey and
 93 Greece to Germany, Algeria to France, the former British colonies towards the UK,
 94 and last but not least Franco's Spain and Salazar's Portugal to central and northern
 95 Europe.

³ We describe this migration as an organized one because it was planned and sustained by govern-
 ments and companies. Company buses were sent, for example, in southern regions of Italy, to bring
 people willing to migrate directly to Switzerland (Cerutti 1994).

96 In Europe, migration flows spread through not only the whole Mediterranean
97 area, but also to India and Pakistan, and are no longer exclusively state or economy
98 driven, but socially determined. Family relations and friendship induce a network
99 migration (Boyd 1989) that stabilizes flows from specific regions to specific places
100 creating a landscape of privileged migration destinations for people from the same
101 regional origin. However, regardless of whether unionized, left, Christian, or Mus-
102 lim, the associative life of mobile people is primarily focused until the 1960s on the
103 working conditions in the target countries.

104 A change of goals occurs only at the end of the 1960s, when the migrant associa-
105 tions realize that their members are no longer only workers, but also families. In
106 particular, family reunifications transform the demands of the members, asking the
107 associations to focus not only on working conditions, but also on social recognition
108 and discrimination in the school context (Blumer 1970; Calvaruso 1973). The desire
109 of the authorities to keep migrants as long as possible in a temporary stay situation
110 (Hollifield 1992) and the *dream of return* of many migrants (Sayad and Fassa 1982)
111 are unfulfilled by the new reality of family and larger community settlements. In
112 particular, the coming of age of the children of migrants in the new living reality
113 leads to certain disenchantment on both sides.

114 New topics such as assimilation or integration arrive on the political agendas
115 (Hoffmann-Nowotny and Hondrich ~~et al. 1992~~; Hollifield 1992), behind which
116 questions arise concerning the inclusion of children with migrant background in
117 school, the living together in a common territory, or simply the so-called cultural
118 differences. Another topic concerns economic stability, as economic interests lead
119 to a change in policy that will permit the stabilization of the residence of employees.

120 In the same period, contrasting political positions emerge that call for establish-
121 ing privileges for nationals and keeping foreigners out of the political and social
122 arena. These political positions are a direct reaction to the augmenting *definitive*
123 presence of people with a foreign passport (Vermeulen 1997; see also Miles and
124 Thranhardt 1995).

125 The struggle for social recognition shall soon bear fruit. The suffering of disre-
126 spect, as Axel Honneth describes it (Honneth 1992), and the search for a model to
127 deal with differences, instead of the apparently unattainable demands of assimila-
128 tion, lead to a fruitful debate in the public sphere. The decision for a policy of
129 inclusion—after having accepted the idea of a definitive stay of the majority of
130 migrants—and the orientation of a part of the associations towards the country of
131 residence and no more towards the country of origin have been the most important
132 consequences of this struggle for recognition (Mahnig 1998; D'Amato 2001).

133 Identity Issues

134 **AQ3** The logic of access to rights—postulated by Marshall (1965) as a continuous pro-
135 cess that needs only time to be realized—was based on a model of a uniform mid-
136 dle-class life. The international mobile people should also adjust, slowly but surely,

137 to this way of life. This model of adjustment dissolves in the 1970s in favor of a
138 model of individuation—with the quest for uniqueness instead of uniformity—and
139 of the search for meaning beyond material values (Inglehart 1977). The focus of
140 migrant associations after World War II had been the search for recognition of so-
141 cial rights. In correspondence with the general trend towards individuation and the
142 shift from a uniforming Fordist economy to a flexibilized economy (Boltanski and
143 Chiapello 1999) that privileges differences, new migrant associations emerge with
144 a strong focus on identity issues.

145 The increasing turn to identity associations that organize themselves in relation
146 to religion, a place of origin, or a region of origin weakens the already existent
147 rights-oriented associative model of mobile people (Fibbi 1983). These new as-
148 sociations of mobile people are, therefore, far less combative and focus mainly on
149 activities related to the maintenance of an identitarian balance between the place of
150 residence, the experiences related to mobility, and their origins (Duchêne-Lacroix
151 2006). These activities aim to produce mainly trust, ontological security,⁴ and per-
152 sonal esteem for their own members (Cattacin and Domenig 2013).

153 The traditional associations continue their activities, but their ability to prevent
154 further decline in their membership is weak. Therefore, they are forced to seek
155 coalitions in an increasingly pluralistic logic. The new solidarity movements born
156 in the 1970s welcome this opening of the traditional migrant associations, as Passy
157 (1992) has shown for Switzerland.

158 Thus, begins a double dynamic of transformation of the associative life that is
159 characterized by the fact that the traditional, union type of associations have to re-
160 orient their activities to other institutions and open their range of activities to others,
161 while the new identity-oriented associations of mobile people are more and more
162 prone to closing, orienting their activities exclusively to their members.

163 **Asylum and the Changing Associative World**

164 Even if there are some common points, we think that the associations of refugees
165 and asylum seekers have to be analyzed separately from the associations of mi-
166 grants that arrived in the center and the north of Europe after the Second World War.

167 The first important asylum migration occurs during the Cold War period where
168 the “good” and the “bad” have been defined following the affiliation to one ideo-
169 logical block or the other. While mistrust characterized the attitude regarding mi-
170 grant workers, which was grounded in the suspicion of nearness to the communist
171 ideology, the refugees from Hungary (1956) and the former Czechoslovakia (1968)
172 receive trust and popular generosity due to their stance against communism.⁵ The
173 benevolent reception, combined with an obvious inability to return, accelerates their

⁴ In the sense of Giddens (1991). Associations help to find an existential, non-material security, such as the acceptance of one’s identity through group affiliation.

⁵ As analyzed in relation to Switzerland by Niederberger (2004) and Parini (2005).

174 social and systemic inclusion. In contrast, those refugees who migrate from coun-
175 tries that belonged to the anticommunist bloc are received with great distance and
176 coldness. As a consequence, the latter establish associations primarily for their self-
177 defense in a hostile context, such as the refugees from Chile in 1972. They very
178 quickly turn to political associations oriented to rights and towards mutual social
179 support (Bolzman 1996)—in contrast to the refugees from Hungary and the former
180 Czechoslovakia but similar to the associations of the postwar working migration.

181 But since the 1980s, the asylum migration follows the new political, social, and
182 economic contexts. The division into “real” and “fake” asylum seekers replaces the
183 political orientation of the Cold War. The dissolution of the political world order
184 also results in a much more heterogeneous asylum migration (from the point of
185 view of the origins) and brings out all over Europe new asylum laws aiming to
186 close the borders to irregular migration and to identify the so-called abusive asylum
187 requests (Efionayi-Mäder et al. 2001). A new moral line arises that distinguishes
188 between economic and therefore dishonest reasons to migrate and politically legiti-
189 mate reasons to seek asylum (GCIM 2005).

190 Asylum seekers are not only classified politically or morally, but also from the
191 point of view of their social and religious characteristics. The political discourse
192 shifts from the idea of assimilation to the concept of insurmountable “cultural dis-
193 tance.” Difference is “essentialized” and “biologized” (Fassin 2005).

194 Finally, deregulation and economic globalization increase unorganized and ir-
195 regular mobility and impede the stable inclusion in the labor market, creating a
196 parallel world of precarious jobs, which is functional to the rapidly transforming
197 economy (Tarrus 2002).

198 The increase in the number of persons in the field of asylum and the related
199 clandestine mobility (Chimienti and Solomos 2011) have both led to an increasing
200 variety of associations (in terms of activities and therefore of claims), and also to
201 an internal diversification of the members regarding their residence status. In the
202 world of the new mobility, it is impossible to relate an association to one kind of
203 migration. Membership and residence status can differ and therefore it is possible
204 to find in the same association asylum seekers, people with a regular stay permit,
205 clandestine migrants, or people with plural citizenships.

206 Four different orientations can be found, which are often present in a combined,
207 polyphonic way:

- 208 • The transnational orientation, which holds mobile people together on the basis of
209 the idea of maintaining a connection with the country of origin (for example, the
210 Kurdish or Sri Lankan diaspora associations; see Wahlbeck 1999 or Moret et al.
211 2007);
- 212 • The identitarian orientation that has the objective of adapting and stabilizing
213 values and traditions in a pluralistic environment (for example, Latin American
214 associations; see Bolzman 2002);
- 215 • The social and economic orientations that manifest themselves in the provision
216 of services of a social or economic nature (typically here the Somali associa-
217 tions, but also the Sri Lankan associations—see Moret 2009);

- Finally, the political orientation, the aim of which is to represent political interests, often based on a national, continental, or ethnic basis (such as African antiracist associations; see Werbner and Modood 2005).

Mobile people from Kosovo are a good example for the combination of orientations and membership logics. Combining working migration before the civil war in Yugoslavia with the asylum migration after the confrontation, Kosovo associations show that transnationally mobile people and their associations can no longer be classified and typified by the place of origin.

Starting from the field of asylum, we can therefore show that the associations of mobile people are diverse. That not only affects these associations, but reflects a general social change in the direction of a pluralization of forms of association. But let us now take a closer look at the recent trends of differentiation in associative worlds.

The Pluralism of Associations of Mobile People

Even if the main challenges of mobile people's associations came up already in the post-Fordist years of the 1970s, the changes towards pluralism inside as well as outside of these associations emerged only slowly. Analyzing the contemporary situation, we can differentiate between mobile people's traditional associations from the Fordist period and associations from the post-Cold War period.

Traditional Associations of Mobile People

Inside the world of traditional associations of mobile people, formed during the post-World War II period, we can find mainly two major transformations that can be explained demographically as well as economically.

On the *demographic* level, it is important to underline the advancing age of the postwar migrants from the south. The number of people arriving from the south to the center and the north of Europe is diminishing and the south is becoming itself a target for migrants. The advanced age of the earlier migrant populations has the consequence that their associations—at least partially—have to focus their attention on issues such as aging or dignity in old age. Issues such as better facilities for people with migrant background in homes for the elderly, social security related to retirement, or the balance between returning and nomadism to keep contacts with the family in the two territories of reference are new topics addressed by these associations (Fibbi et al. 2002).⁶

⁶ A special aspect of this demographic dynamics is the role of the descendants of migrants. These “second generations” are largely emancipated from their parents and find themselves often in the role of mediators between various groups representing differences (Atabay 1998, Bolzman et al.

251 On the *economic* level must be mentioned the influence of the regions of origin
252 on the association's orientation. The regions of origin use these associations as vec-
253 tors for the promotion of economic activities, in particular the promotion of local
254 products and tourism. It is not only an instrumental relation that explains this new
255 orientation towards economic issues (Kloosterman et al. 1998), but also the will to
256 legitimate the group's own identity outside the association through the selling of
257 specific products and through highlighting the attraction of the region of origin for
258 tourists.

259 Of course, not all traditional migrant associations promote their regions of ori-
260 gin. But at least this more extroverted approach of associations is a further sign that
261 traditional migration is no longer at the center of xenophobic attacks and that people
262 from these regions can show that they are proud of their origins (La Barba and Cat-
263 tacin 2007). Xenophobia does not disappear but focuses on the new unorganized or
264 irregular mobility.⁷

265 *Unorganized New Mobility of the Post-Cold War Period*

266 Since the 1980s and 1990s, mobile people are not only in the focus of xenophobic
267 groups, but also of politics. New measures are constantly being adopted beginning
268 in 1990, which should improve mobile people's inclusion in the new country of
269 residence. These measures are characterized on the one hand by respect for the iden-
270 tity of mobile people. On the other hand, mobile people also need skills that permit a
271 functional adaptation, such as knowing laws and rules or learning the local language
272 in order to improve their chances on the labor market (see Brubaker 2001, who
273 speaks about a new "assimilation" policy, and Cattacin and Chimienti 2006). An
274 important characteristic of these measures that focus on the social, economic, and
275 political inclusion of mobile people is their frequent development in cooperation
276 with the affected associations. In these cases, associations are seen as intermediaries
277 between mobile people and functional systems, and they are invited to participate in
278 the inclusion programs through subsidized projects.

279 The ambivalence between the rejection of the newly arrived people on the one
280 side, and the promoted role of associations for national, regional, and local inclu-
281 sion policies on the other side creates a predicament which brings about differ-
282 entiated tactics and activities on the part of mobile people's associations. We can
283 use Hirschmann's differentiation between "exit," "voice," and "loyalty" to describe
284 three reactive strategies to this predicament (Hirschman 1970):

2003). They usually promote a more cosmopolitan (and not national) vision of cohesion between
the differences (Soysal 1994) and invest their time rarely in those associations that are organized
according to the origins of their members.

⁷ The regular mobility continues to exist in the flexible and global world of highly skilled people
that can move with almost no barriers from one country to another. They can also be affected by
xenophobic hostility (Helbling 2011).

Table 14.2 Strategies of earlier, traditional and newer, pluralized associations of mobile people. (Own compilation)

	Traditional migrations (1945–1980)	Newer mobilities (since 1980)
Exit	Regional associations	Transnational diaspora
Voice	Trade union-type associations	Movements against discrimination and racism, for recognition of difference
Loyalty	–	Partner associations of government (local, regional, national) in inclusion (and cooperation) projects

- 285 • *Exit* or the strategy of self-exclusion: Some mobile people organize themselves
 286 exclusively within their community of origin, where most of the services necessary
 287 for everyday life are available. The *ethnic business*, which is based primarily
 288 on one's own community, providing a homogenous meeting place, is a good
 289 example of this strategy. Diaspora associations, which focus only on the place of
 290 origin, can also be attributed to this response strategy.
- 291 • *Voice* or the struggle for recognition: Another part of the new mobility is organized
 292 in associations that fight against discrimination, xenophobia, and racism
 293 and demand their recognition through lobbying activities, demonstrations, and
 294 other expressive ways addressed to the population in general as well as to policy
 295 makers. In this group, not only does one encounter very diversified collective
 296 actors, such as associations of mobile people, but also churches and political
 297 parties (see for instance Gerber 2003).
- 298 • *Loyalty* or the participation in initiatives for inclusion and international cooperation:
 299 The third reactive strategy is to initiate a dialogue with the authorities of
 300 the country of residence. These cooperative strategies allow the association to
 301 not only benefit from subsidies to implement specific measures for inclusion or
 302 co-development initiatives with the region of origin, but also position itself as a
 303 bridge between the concerns of mobile people and the inclusion and cooperation
 304 policies (see Maggi et al. 2013 for the case of Senegalese associations; see also:
 305 Ionescu 2007).

306 These three reactive strategies—exit, loyalty, voice⁸—can certainly also be found
 307 in traditional post-World War II associations; but the increase in the importance of
 308 the loyalty or cooperation strategy today is certainly a feature of post-Cold War,
 309 pluralized societies (Table 14.2).

310 Even if the complexity of associations of mobile people can hardly be summarized,
 311 Table 14.2 permits the highlighting of a strategy that is neither recognized by
 312 national politics nor by the European integration laws, namely that associations of

⁸ It would be wrong, of course, to ignore associative logics that could be called anomic, such as conspiracy or terrorist organizations. Even if this kind of association is marginal—at least from a quantitative point of view—we can still include them in our analysis as a reactive strategy (voice), which is oppressive and thus outside of the field of communication in a pluralist society and which can only result in the isolation of the members of this kind of association.

313 mobile people can be partners in the development of policies in different fields. In
314 a pluralistic society, it is impossible to demand assimilation and the surrender of
315 one's own identity; instead mobile people should at least be functionally included
316 in the destination country through support in understanding the organization (tax
317 system, social security, etc.) of the new society and its legal system and in learning
318 the local language. These skills can be taught more easily by people that know the
319 mobility reality. That is why associations of mobile people are fundamental inclu-
320 sion mechanisms: they have the credibility and legitimacy to act for the benefit of
321 mobile people in an ambivalent context. As did the preventive impact of associa-
322 tions of homosexuals in the fight against HIV/AIDS in the 1980s, associations of
323 mobile people have the potential to become key actors for inclusion policies.

AQ4 324 This change in the political orientation—away from distrust towards the neces-
325 sary cooperation, and this from both sides—probably is among the biggest chal-
326 lenges in building a pluralistic society that seeks to be characterized by a low poten-
327 tial of destructive conflict.

328 Concluding Remarks

329 A preliminary evaluation of associations of mobile people is almost impossible, be-
330 cause they not only transformed themselves in response to societal changes but—in
331 parallel—they also differentiated their logic of action.⁹ To distinguish these con-
332 texts and configurations, two dimensions can be used, namely:

- 333 • On the one hand, the temporal dimension, which shows the change in society
334 from Fordism to Flexibilism, from the Cold War to the globalized dynamic of
335 interdependence, and from the uniform model of inclusion to the paradigm of
336 diversity (Faist 2009);
- 337 • On the other hand, the organizational dimension describing the configuration of
338 openness or closedness of the associations towards their environment—an open-
339 ness or closedness, which over time may also change.

340 The associations of the diaspora type seem to be the only case of a contextual and
341 configurational constant. For all other association forms of mobile people, we can
342 observe continuous change, such as, for example, in the traditional Italian migrant
343 associations that shifted from mutual support in the struggle for social rights and
344 recognition to identitarian stabilization and ultimately to transnational economic
345 exchange. A characteristic of the recent, mostly project-oriented associations is their
346 short life span. With the end of the project, the association dissolves to a character-
347 istic which they happen to share with other associations in the destination country
348 (Cattacin 2006).

⁹ As shown in some studies on the local context: Waldrauch and Sohler (2004); Taboada-Leonetti (1989); Mutlu (1995).

349 In summary, we notice that the pluralization of associations has led to new forms
 350 of organization, which may be regarded as strong support for inclusion into the des-
 351 tination society. In the triple transformation of our societies, namely the economic
 352 flexibility, the need for cooperation between governments and associations and the
 353 dynamics of individualization and individuation, associations can potentially play a
 354 central role in contributing to the inclusion of mobile people. In order to make the
 355 most of this potential, associations have to open themselves and turn their activities
 356 and interests towards the destination society as well.

357 In order to mitigate the risks of a radicalization of differences (which are mostly
 358 based on the notion of so-called incompatible cultures¹⁰), the current inclusion poli-
 359 cies should be guided mainly by the idea of respecting all kinds of differences. Such
 360 a policy should consist of a combination of both antidiscrimination laws, which are
 361 the basis for an open society and the prerequisite to enable social advancement, and
 362 an occasional but regular exchange between all relevant collective actors.

363 However, this policy cannot occur in a vacuum, dictated by an authority; rather,
 364 it should emerge from the confrontation with collective actors and debates in the
 365 public sphere. Only through the joint and networked elaboration of a policy that
 366 defines pluralism as a resource¹¹ can individual well-being and reciprocal respect
 367 be produced, which could be of a great use for economics as well as for politics.
 368 This short historical outline had the intention to point out that associations play an
 369 essential role not only in stabilizing and supporting the identity self-assurance of
 370 mobile people, but also in the production of social links, which are the basis for the
 371 constructive reproduction of societies.

372 References

- 373 Atabay, I. (1998). *Zwischen Tradition und Assimilation: Die zweite Generation türkischer Mi-*
 374 *granten in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland*. Freiburg i. Br.: Lambertus.
- 375 Baglioni, S. (2005). Immigrati e cittadini di fatto? Fiducia nelle istituzioni, associazionismo e par-
 376 tecipazione politica degli immigrati in Svizzera. *Forum Numéro spécial (10 ans SFM)*, 98–107.
- 377 Bagnasco, A. (1977). *Tre Italie. La problematica territoriale dello sviluppo italiano*. Bologna: il
 378 Mulino.
- 379 Bagnasco, A. (1999). *Tracce di comunità*. Bologna: il Mulino.
- 380 Baillet, D. (2000). Les associations issues de l'immigration, un outil d'intégration? *Migrations*
 381 *société*, 12(72), 55–66.
- 382 Battaglini, M., Cattacin, S., & Tattini, V. (2001a). Reconnaissance et coopération: quelle institu-
 383 tionnalisation de l'associationnisme? *Deuxième partie. Associations transnationales/Transna-*
 384 *tional Associations*, 1(3), 130–156.
- 385 Battaglini, M., Cattacin, S., & Tattini, V. (2001b). Reconnaissance et coopération: quelle institu-
 386 tionnalisation de l'associationnisme? *Première partie. Associations transnationales/Transna-*
 387 *tional Associations*, 1(2), 60–73.
- 388 Beveridge, W. H. (1948). *Voluntary action*. London: Allen and Unwin.

¹⁰ A dynamic that was highlighted by Baillet for France (Baillet 2000).

¹¹ In terms of networks of mutual reciprocity and mutual trust (see Mutti 1998 and Bagnasco 1999); for the context of migration: Weiss and Thränhardt 2005; Reinprecht 2011.

- Blumer, G. (1970). *L'emigrazione italiana in Europa: [un sottoproletariato che lo sfruttamento internazionale tiene socialmente e politicamente diviso dalla classe operaia]*. Milano: Feltrinelli.
- Boltanski, L., & Chiapello, E. (1999). *Le nouvel esprit du capitalisme*. Paris: Gallimard.
- Bolzman, C. (1996). *Sociologie de l'exil: une approche dynamique: l'exemple des réfugiés chiliens en Suisse*. Zürich: Seismo.
- Bolzman, C. (2002). De l'exil à la diaspora: l'exemple de la migration chilienne. In F. Meyer, R. Meyer, & J.-B. Meyer (Eds.), *Diasporas, développements et mondialisations* (pp. 91–107). La Tour d'Aigues: Editions de l'Aube.
- Bolzman, C., Fibbi, R., & Vial, M. (2003). *Secondas—Secondos. Le processus d'intégration des jeunes adultes issus de la migration espagnole et italienne en Suisse*. Zurich: Seismo.
- Boyd, M. (1989). Family and personal networks in international migration: Recent developments and new agendas. *International migration review*, 23(3), 638–670.
- Brubaker, R. (2001). The return of assimilation? Changing perspectives on immigration and its sequels in France, Germany, and the United States. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 24(4), 531–548.
- Calvaruso, C. (1973). Sindacati ed emigrazione: i paesi di immigrazione. *Studi emigrazione*, 10(29), 3–119.
- Cattacin, S. (2006). Vereine und Vereinigungen in einer pluralisierten Gesellschaft. Überlegungen zum Verhältnis zwischen Vereinen und Vereinigungen sowie dem Staat und dem Markt im Umfeld mikro- und makrostrukturellen Wandels. In P. Farago & H. Ammann (Eds.), *Monetarisierung der Freiwilligkeit* (pp. 221–231). Zürich: Seismo.
- Cattacin, S., ~~Milena C.~~ (2006). Intégration. Un concept sociologique en politique migratoire. *Terra Cognita*, 6, 34–37.
- Cattacin, S., ~~Dagmar D.~~ (2012). *Inseln transnationaler Mobilität. Freiwilliges Engagement in Vereinen mobiler Menschen in der Schweiz*. Genève: Seismo.
- Cattacin, S., ~~Dagmar D.~~ (2013). Why do transnationally mobile people volunteer? Insights from a Swiss case study. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 1–23.
- Cattacin, S., Giugni, M. G., & Passy, F. (1997). *Etats et mouvements sociaux. La dialectique de la société politique et de la société civile*. Arles: Actes Sud.
- Cerutti, M. (1994). Un secolo di emigrazione italiana in Svizzera (1870–1970), attraverso le fonti dell'Archivio federale. *Studi e fonti*, 20, 11–141.
- Chimienti, M., & Solomos, J. (2011). Social movements of irregular migrants, recognition, and citizenship. *Globalizations*, 8(3), 343–360.
- D'Amato, G. (2001). Vom Ausländer zum Bürger: der Streit um die politische Integration von Einwanderern in Deutschland, Frankreich und der Schweiz. Münster: Lit.
- Dear, M. J., & Flusty, S. (2001). The resistible rise of the L.A. School. In M. J. Dear & J. D. Dishman (Eds.), *From Chicago to L.A.: Making sense of urban theory* (pp. 3–16). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Dewey, J. (1927). *The public and its problems, an essay in political inquiry*. Denver: Swallow.
- Duchêne-Lacroix, C. (2006). Von französischen zu plurikulturellen Archipelen: Lebensformen von Franzosen in Berlin. In F. Kreutzer & S. Roth (Eds.), *Transnationale Karrieren. Biografien, Lebensführung und Mobilität* (pp. 240–259). Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Efionayi-Mäder, D., Chimienti, M., Dahinden, J., & Piguët, E. (2001). *Asyldestination Europa: eine Geographie der Asylbewegungen*. Zürich: Seismo.
- Etzioni, A. (1973). The third sector and domestic missions. *Public Administration Review*, 33, 314–323.
- Faist, T. (2009). Diversity—a new mode of incorporation? *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 32(1), 171–190.
- Fassin, D. (2005). Compassion and repression. The moral economy of immigration policies in France. *Cultural Anthropology*, 20(3), 362–387.
- Fibbi, R. (1983). Die italienischen Vereine in der Schweiz in einer Übergangsphase: einige Fragen an die Linke. *Widerspruch*, 1983(6), 76–85.

- 441 Fibbi, R., Vial, M., & Bolzman, C. (2002). Rückkehr, bleiben oder pendeln?: zur Situation von
 442 Einwanderern im Pensionsalter. *Schweizer Monatshefte für Politik, Wirtschaft, Kultur*, 82(11),
 443 20–23.
- 444 Fukuyama, F. (1995). *Trust the social virtues and the cration of prosperity*. London: Hamish
 445 Hamilton.
- 446 GCIM, Global Commission on International Migration. (2005). *Migration in an interconnected*
 447 *world: New directions for action. Report of the Global Commission on International Migra-*
 448 *tion*. Geneva: GCIM.
- 449 Gerber, B. (2003). *Die antirassistische Bewegung in der Schweiz*. Zürich: Seismo.
- 450 Giddens, A. (1991). *Modernity and self-identity: Self and society in the late Modern Age*.
 451 Cambridge: Polity Press.
- 452 Helbling, M. (2011). Why Swiss-Germans dislike Germans. Opposition to culturally similar and
 453 highly skilled immigrants. *European Societies*, 13(1), 5–27.
- 454 Hirschman, A. O. (1970). *Exit, voice, and loyalty; responses to decline in firms, organizations, and*
 455 *states*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- 456 ~~Hondrich, H. N., Hondrich, H. J., & Hondrich, K. O. (1982). Zur Funktionsweise sozialer Sys-~~
 457 ~~teme: Versuch eines Resumes und einer theoretischen Integration. In H. N. Hondrich, H. J.~~
 458 ~~Hondrich, & K. O. Hondrich (Eds.), *Ausländer in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland und in*~~
 459 ~~*der Schweiz: Segregation und Integration: eine vergleichende Untersuchung* (pp. 569–635).~~
 460 ~~Frankfurt a. M.: Campus.~~
- 461 Hollifield, J. F. (1990). Migrants ou citoyens: la politique de l'immigration en France et aux Etats-
 462 Unis. *Revue européenne des migrations internationales*, 6(1), 159–183.
- 463 Hollifield, J. F. (1992). *Immigrants, markets, and states: The political economy of postwar Europe*.
 464 Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- 465 Honneth, A. (1992). *Kampf um Anerkennung. Zur moralischen Grammatik sozialer Konflikte*.
 466 Frankfurt a. M.: Suhrkamp.
- 467 Inglehart, R. (1977). *The silent revolution: Changing values and political styles among western*
 468 *publics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- 469 Ionescu, D. (2007). *Engaging diasporas as development partners for home and destination coun-*
 470 *tries: Challenges for policymakers*. Geneva: International Organization for Migration.
- 471 Kloosterman, R., Van der Leun, J., & Rath, J. (1998). Across the border: Immigrants' economic
 472 opportunities, social capital, and informal business activities. *Journal of ethnic and migration*
 473 *studies*, 24(2), 249–268.
- 474 La Barba, M., & Cattacin, S. (2007). Le associazioni italiane in Svizzera (Modi Visioni Divisioni)—
 475 Commission fédérale des étrangers, C. F. E. Suisse: Département de sociologie, Université de
 476 Genève, p. 60.
- 477 Maggi, J., et al. (2013). Migrations transnationales sénégalaises, intégration et développement. Le
 478 rôle des associations de la diaspora à Milan, Paris et Genève. Genève, Département de sociologi-
 479 gie: Sociograph—Sociological Research, Study n°15.
- 480 Mahnig, H. (1998). *Integrationspolitik in Grossbritannien, Frankreich, Deutschland und den Nie-*
 481 *derlanden: eine vergleichende Analyse*. Neuchâtel: Forum suisse pour l'étude des migrations.
- 482 Martiniello, M. (1997). *Sortir des ghettos culturels*. Paris: Presses de Sciences Po.
- 483 Miles, R., & Thränhardt D., (Eds.) (1995). *Migration and European integration: The dynamics of*
 484 *inclusion and exclusion*. London: Pinter.
- 485 Moret, J. (2009). L'exemple somalien: mobilité citoyenneté et transnationalisme. *Terra cognita*,
 486 15, 82–85.
- 487 Moret, J., Efonayi-Mäder, D., & Stants, F. (2007). *Die srilankische Diaspora in der Schweiz*.
 488 Berne: ODM.
- 489 Moya, J. C. (2005). Immigrants and associations: A global and historical perspective. *Journal of*
 490 *Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 31(5), 833–864.
- 491 Mutlu, H. (1995). *Le tissu associatif des communautés étrangères dans le canton de Neuchâtel:*
 492 *problèmes, besoins et demandes des associations*. Neuchâtel: Université de Neuchâtel, Institut
 493 de sociologie et de science politique.
- 494 Mutti, A. (1998). *Capitale sociale e sviluppo*. Bologna: il Mulino.

- 495 Mutti, A. (2000). Particularism and the modernization process in Southern Italy. *International*
 496 *Sociology*, 15(4), 579–590.
- 497 Niederberger, J. M. (2004). *Ausgrenzen, Assimilieren, Integrieren: die Entwicklung einer sch-*
 498 *weizerischen Integrationspolitik*. Zürich: Seismo.
- 499 ~~Gianni, P., Gianni, L., & Gianni, M.~~ (2005). Enjeux et modifications de la politique d’asile en
 500 Suisse de 1956 à nos jours. In H. S. Mahnig & Cattacin, et al. (Eds.), *Histoire de la politique*
 501 *de migration, d’asile et d’intégration en Suisse depuis 1948* (pp. 189–252). Zürich: Seismo.
- 502 Park, R. E. (1928). Human migration and the marginal man. *The American journal of sociology*,
 503 33(6), 881–893.
- 504 Passy, F. (1992). *Le mouvement de solidarité en Suisse: analyse de la mobilisation dans une per-*
 505 *spective organisationnelle*. Genève: Université de Genève, Département de science politique.
- 506 Putnam, R., Leonardi, R., & Nanetti, R. Y. (1993). *Making democracy work: Civic traditions in*
 507 *modern Italy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- 508 Reinprecht, C. (2011). Freiwilligenarbeit als Beitrag zur Integrations- und Inklusionsförderung in
 509 Einwanderungsgesellschaften. In H. Ammann (Ed.), *Grenzen-Los! Fokus Gemeinde: freiwill-*
 510 *liges Engagement in Deutschland, Österreich und der Schweiz. Beiträge der 2. Internationalen*
 511 *Vernetzungskonferenz, 2010 Rüschlikon* (pp. 250–263). Zürich: Seismo.
- 512 Ricciardi, T. (2013). *Associazionismo ed emigrazione. Storia delle Colonie Libere e degli Italiani*
 513 *in Svizzera*. Bari: Laterza.
- 514 Rosenblum, N. L. (2001). *Membership and morals. The personal uses of pluralism in America*.
 515 Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- 516 Sayad, A., & Fassa, F. (1982). *Éléments pour une sociologie de l’immigration*. Lausanne: Institut
 517 de science politique.
- 518 Seibel, W. (1992). *Funktionaler Dilettantismus. Erfolgreich scheiternde Organisationen im “Drit-*
 519 *ten Sektor” zwischen Markt und Staat*. Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- 520 Soysal, Y. N. (1994). *Limits of citizenship: Migrants and postnational membership in Europe*.
 521 Chicago: The University of Chicago.
- 522 Taboada-Leonetti, I. (1989). Cohabitation pluri-ethnique dans la ville: stratégies d’insertion locale
 523 et phénomènes identitaires. *Revue européenne des migrations internationales*, 5(2), 51–70.
- 524 Tarrius, A. (2002). *La mondialisation par le bas: Les nouveaux nomades de l’économie souter-*
 525 *raïne*. Paris: Balland.
- 526 Tocqueville, A. de. (1986/1835). *De la démocratie en Amérique, I*. Paris: Gallimard.
- 527 Vermeulen, H. (1997). *Immigrant policy for a multicultural society: A comparative study of inte-*
 528 *gration, language and religious policy in five western European countries*. Brussels: Migration
 529 Policy Group.
- 530 Wacquant, L. (2006). *Parias urbains. Ghetto—Banlieues—Etat*. Paris: La découverte.
- 531 Wahlbeck, Ö. (1999). *Kurdish diasporas: A comparative study of Kurdish refugee communities*.
 532 Houndmills: Macmillan.
- 533 Waldrauch, H., & Sohler, K. (2004). *Migrantenorganisationen in der Großstadt. Entstehung,*
 534 *Strukturen und Aktivitäten am Beispiel Wien*. Frankfurt a. M.: Campus.
- 535 Weiss, K., & Thränhardt, D. (2005). *Selbst-Hilfe. Wie Migranten Netzwerke knüpfen und soziales*
 536 *Kapital schaffen*. Freiburg i. B.: Lambertus.
- 537 Werbner, P., & Modood, T. (Eds.). (2005). *Debating cultural hybridity: Multi-cultural identities*
 538 *and the politics of anti-racism*. London: Zed Books.
- 539 Zimmer, A. (1996). *Vereine-Basiselement der Demokratie. Eine Analyse aus der Dritte-Sektor-*
 540 *Perspektive*. Opladen: Leske + Budrich.
- 541 Zimmer, A., & Evers, A. (2010). How do third sector organizations deal with change? Perspectives
 542 from five countries in three policy fields. In A. Zimmer & A. Evers (Eds.), *Third sector orga-*
 543 *nizations facing turbulent environments: Sports, culture and social services in five European*
 544 *countries* (pp. 7–21). Baden-Baden: Nomos.